

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,227	06/26/2003	William E. Spindler	WC10002.US	5896
7:	590 01/19/2006		EXAM	INER
Todd T. Taylor			CARRILLO, BIBI SHARIDAN	
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 560			1746	
Avilla, IN 46710			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(h	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/607,227	SPINDLER, WILLIAM E.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sharidan Carrillo	1746		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address		
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF A STATUTORY OF A S	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0620	<u>62003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	<b>).</b> .			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-32 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 33-36 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	٠	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			٠	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
* 6	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachmen	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Infori	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/2003</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/607,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for carbonate, phosphate, silicate, borate, hydroxide, does not reasonably provide enablement for any alkaline reactant. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims embrace an invention which contains any known alkaline reactant, which could/can be selected from literally thousands. It does not appear to be feasible that any alkaline reactant would function in the present invention. Further, for one skilled in the art to reproduce the present invention (which must be possible, if the specification is adequate), there would clearly be undue experimentation to do so in an attempt to figure out which alkaline reactants work and which ones do not.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 is indefinite because it is unclear how the alkaline reactant is selected to raise the pH of the cleaning compound if the cleaning compound consists of both the peroxide and the alkaline reactant. Claim 33 is indefinite because there is no positive step of cleaning the surface of a food processing environment. Claim 34 is indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by high-foaming. Claim 36 is indefinite because it is unclear whether the composition of both the peroxide and the alkaline reactant are dry or whether it is only the alkaline reactant. The claim is further indefinite because it is unclear whether the dry formulation is added to the surface or whether the dry alkaline reactant is added to the peroxide to form an aqueous solution which is then added to the surface.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kramer et al. (5320805).

Kramer teaches a method of cleaning food processing plants using a composition having an alkaline water soluble salt (i.e. sodium carbonate) and hydrogen peroxide (col. 1, lines 10-12, col. 2, lines 13-15, lines 34-39, col. 4, lines 1-12). In reference to pH, refer to col. 5, lines 10-12. In reference to claim 34 and in view of the indefiniteness, refer to col. 2, lines 48-52. In reference to claim 35, Kramer teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/607,227

Art Unit: 1746

mixing both peroxide and alkaline salt to form a solution (claim 1). In reference to claim 36, Kramer teaches that the composition may be applied as a bulk powder (col. 3, lines 63-65, col. 8, lines 1-3).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramirez et al. (US2003/0078178).

Ramirez teaches a method of cleaning equipment in food processing industries by treating with an alkaline pH cleaning solution comprising peroxide and an alkaline reagent (i.e. hydroxide, silicate, phosphate, carbonate, paragraph 43, abstract). In reference to "consisting essentially of" refer to paragraph 43. In reference to the pH, refer to paragraph 49. In reference to claim 34 and in view of the indefiniteness, refer to the abstract and paragraph 18. In reference to claim 35, the limitations of different containers are inherently met since paragraph 49 teaches preparing a liquid solution by mixing a series of ingredients. In reference to claim 36, refer to paragraphs 59 and 60.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cannon et al. teach aqueous based cleaner for removal of

Art Unit: 1746

residue. Weinstein teaches high alkali cleaning concentrates. John teaches cleaning surfaces with grease suing peroxide.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharidan Carrillo whose telephone number is 571-272-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 6:30-4:00pm, alternating Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharidan Carrillo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

bsc

SHARIDAN CARRILLO PRIMARY EXAMINER

- ello